## Timeline

■ January 11, 2011- General outline of legal parameters

- April 1, 2011-Receipt of detailed Census Data
- August 2, 2011- Presentation of Plans
- September 6, 2011- Adoption of New Districts


## Today's Agenda

- Review General Statutes for Board Composition
- Review Federal Legislation and Court Rulings
- Discussion and Direction


## Board Composition Options

- Number of Commissioners
- Length of Term/Concurrence of Terms
- Area Represented
- Election of Chair


## Number of Commissioners



## 60

Durham, Buncombe, Cabarrus, Iredell, Lincoln, Union


Forsyth, Wake, Anson, Davidson, Gaston



## At-large/Districts

## 43

Buncombe, Cabarrus, Durham, Union



18
Mecklenburg, Guilford, Forsyth

## District Options

 Residence Primary General

14 Pure District Anson, Pitt

21 District- At Large Gaston, Wake

## 2 Combined

 District At-Large Currituck, Orange
## Election of Chairman



Elected to a oneyear term by members of the Board


Elected to a twoyear or four-year term by the voters as a separate office.

## Process for Alteríng Board



BOCC passes a resolution, voters consider proposal in referendum


General Assembly passes legislation setting board size, term and structure

## Process for Redrawing Districts



BOCC passes a resolution


General Assembly passes legislation setting district boundaries

# Federal Redistricting <br> Requirements 

- Is Redistricting Required?
- Equal Protection Clause interpreted to require that districts be "substantially equal."
- 10\% rule established by Courts to determine "substantially equal."


## Pretend County population 600,000

| Pretend Districts | Pretend Population | Deviation From <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| District 1 | 100,000 | $0 \%$ |
| District 2 | 107,000 | $+7 \%$ |
| District 3 | 98,000 | $-2 \%$ |
| District 4 | 98,000 | $-2 \%$ |
| District 5 | 99,000 | $-1 \%$ |
| District 6 | 98,000 | $-2 \%$ |
| Total | 600,000 | $9 \%$ |

## Mean Population: 100,000

## Voting Rights Act

- Section $V$ requiring pre-clearance by the US Department of Justice does not apply
- Section II requiring race be taken into account does apply (Thornburg v. Gingles, 1986)
- Equal Protection Clause of US Constitution cited by Courts as preventing race from being the predominant factor unless use of race narrowly tailored to achieve a compelling interest. (Shaw v. Reno, 1993; Shaw v. Hunt, 1996; Miller v. Johnson 1995).


# Counties must consider race, but not too much 

## Too Much

OK


## DISCUSSION AND DIRECTION

## Dlrections Board Composition

- Option 1: Seek change to composition via referendum
- Option 2: Seek change to composition via legislation
- Option 3: Rule out change to composition; proceed with redrawing districts based on 6 district and 3 atlarge.

NOTE: If Board seeks to change composition of Board, staff recommends that details be discussed at a future meeting.

## Directions Board Involvement

- Option 1: Independent Commission
- Board appoints Commission
- Commission has complete autonomy
- Board considers Commission recommendation for up or down vote.
- Option 2: Advisory Committee
- Board appoints Advisory Committee
- Board provides policy guidance to committee
- Board considers several alternatives recommended by the committee
- Option 3: Committee of the Whole - Board in its entirety handles redistricting itself

Staff Recommends: Option 1 or 2

## Directions Whole Precincts

- Option 1: Do not divide precincts in drawing districts
- Option 2: Divide precincts to achieve other goals.

Staff Recommends: Option 1

## Board of Education

- Option 1: Work with Board of Education to draw the same districts for both bodies.
- Option 2: Draw districts independent of Board of Education.


## Incumbent Consideration

- Option 1: Do not put multiple incumbents in the same district
- Option 2: Specifically put multiple incumbents in the same district and specify those incumbents
- Option 3: Ignore incumbency when drawing districts



## Drections Partisan Make-up

- Option 1: To the extent possible, draw districts that will likely elect a member from a certain party. Specify how many districts for each party.
- Option 2: To the extent possible, draw districts that will likely be competitive. Specify how many should be "competitive" and how many should be "safe."
- Option 3: Ignore partisan considerations.


## Towns

- Option 1: Except for Charlotte, no municipality will be split between multiple districts.
- Option 2: When possible, municipalities should be split between multiple districts.
- Option 3: Do not consider municipal boundaries.


## Race

- Option 1: Draw two districts that would be "minority-majority."
- Option 2: Draw two districts that while not "minority-majority" would likely give minority voters the opportunity to elect a commissioner of their choosing.
- Option 3: Ignore race when drawing districts.


## prioritization

## Next Steps

- Next steps will be determined by the Board's decisions.

