

Mecklenburg County Department of Internal Audit

Financial Services Department Accounts Payable Follow-Up Audit Report 1363

November 5, 2012

Internal Audit's Mission	Through open communication, professionalism, expertise and trust, Internal Audit assists executive management and the Audit Review Committee in accomplishing the Board's objectives by bringing a systematic and disciplined approach to evaluate the effectiveness of the County's risk management, control and governance processes in the delivery of services.
Internal Audit Contacts	Joanne Whitmore, Audit Director, (704) 336-2575 or joanne.whitmore@mecklenburgcountync.gov
	Christopher Waddell, Audit Manager, (704) 336-2599 or christopher.waddell@mecklenburgcountync.gov
Staff Acknowledgements	Felicia Gadson, Auditor-In-Charge
Obtaining Copies of Internal Audit Reports	This report can be found in electronic format at http://charmeck.org/mecklenburg/county/Audit/Pages/Reports.aspx



To: Harry Jones, County Manager

From: Joanne Whitmore, Director, Department of Internal Audit

Date: November 5, 2012

Subject: Financial Services Department Follow-Up Audit Report 1363

The Department of Internal Audit has completed follow-up procedures on reported issues from the Department of Finance Accounts Payable Audit Report 1162 issued July 18, 2011. The objective of the follow-up review was to determine with reasonable but not absolute assurance whether management took effective and timely action on the issues presented in the audit report.

Internal Audit interviewed key personnel, observed operations, reviewed written policies and procedures and other documents and tested specific transaction activity where applicable. Internal Audit conducted this audit under the guidance of the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing.

RESULTS

As noted in the following **Follow-Up Results** section, there were eleven recommendations in the Department of Finance Accounts Payable Audit Report 1162. Internal Audit determined seven recommendations were fully implemented, two recommendations have been partially implemented and two recommendations remain open. Of the two recommendations partially implemented, management has implemented the majority of the related components. Internal Audit will c onduct a follow-up re view at a later date to verify that the partially implemented recommendations are fully implemented and working as expected.

The cooperation and assistance of the Financial Services Department staff are recognized and appreciated.

c: Michelle Lancaster, General Manager
John McGillicuddy, General Manager
Bobbie Shields, General Manager
Leslie Johnson, Associate General Manager
Tyrone Wade, Deputy County Attorney
Robert Thomas, Senior Associate Attorney
Dena Diorio, Director, Financial Services Department
Board of County Commissioners
Audit Review Committee

- Fully Implemented The audit issue has been adequately addressed by implementing the original or alternative corrective action (X).
- Open/Partially Implemented The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete (P) or the audit issue has not been addressed but management fully intends to address the issue (O).
- Not Implemented The audit issue has not been addressed and management has assumed the risk of not taking corrective action (NI).
- Withdrawn The audit issue no longer exist because of changes in the auditee's operation (W).

			Corrective Action Taken			
Issue	Risk Observation	Recommendation	Fully Implemented	Open/Partially Implemented	Not Implemented	Withdrawn
1	A. There are n od ocumented procedures outlining the process for staff to verify the approval signature for travel-related expenditures.	A. Establish an internal and countywide policy and p rocedure t hat requires each County department to submit to the Department of F inance a list of authorized approvers and their respective signatures for travel-related expenditures. The information should be updated when any changes occur.	X			
	B. Existing policies and procedures do not provide staff guidance for validation of vendor information prior to setting up new vendors in the master vendor file. Nor dothepolicies and procedures require a periodic review of the master vendor file to remove or deactivate duplicate or inactive vendors and other maintenance efforts.	B. Develop an d i mplement more comprehensive documented p olicies and procedures for master vendor file management to improve its accuracy and reliability. Staff should be trained on the policies and procedures. The written p olicies and procedures should have a f ramework t hat establishes, at a minimum: a. requirements and process to validate vendor names, addresses, TINs and t elephone numbers prior to setting vendors up i n t he A dvantage m aster vendor file b. requirements t o compare new vendors against debarment lists c. timeframe to periodically review and clean up the master vendor file		Р		

Internal Audit Report 1363

Page 2 of 7

- Fully Implemented The audit issue has been adequately addressed by implementing the original or alternative corrective action (X).
- Open/Partially Implemented The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete (P) or the audit issue has not been addressed but management fully intends to address the issue (O).
- Not Implemented The audit issue has not been addressed and management has assumed the risk of not taking corrective action (NI).
- Withdrawn The audit issue no longer exist because of changes in the auditee's operation (W).

			Corrective Action Taken			
Issue	Risk Observation	Recommendation	Fully Implemented	Open/Partially Implemented	Not Implemented	Withdrawn
	C. Existing p olicies a nd procedures do not a ddress s eparation of duties requirements f or s ome invoice and d isbursement functions.	d. procedures to identify and remove or deactivate duplicate or inactivate duplicate vendors e. an independent review of changes to the master vendor file f. data entry requirements, such as vendor naming conventions and critical field entries g. master v endor f ile ch ange management for all changes h. management o versight r equirements C. Develop and i mplement a m ore comprehensive documented p olicy and procedure for separation of duties requirements w ithin the in voice and d isbursement p rocess t hat establishes, at a minimum: a. definition of adequate separation of duties f or a uthorization, recording, v erification, c ustody and system administration b. identification o f in compatible functions based on a uthorized roles and responsibilities c. mitigating c ontrols u sed to manage areas o f inadequate separation of duties	X			

Internal Audit Report 1363

Page 3 of 7

- Fully Implemented The audit issue has been adequately addressed by implementing the original or alternative corrective action (X).
- Open/Partially Implemented The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete (P) or the audit issue has not been addressed but management fully intends to address the issue (O).
- Not Implemented The audit issue has not been addressed and management has assumed the risk of not taking corrective action (NI).
- Withdrawn The audit issue no longer exist because of changes in the auditee's operation (W).

			Corrective Action Taken			
Issue	Risk Observation	Recommendation	Fully Implemented	Open/Partially Implemented	Not Implemented	Withdrawn
		d. periodic assessments to identify changes that may impact controls	V			
	D. The Department does not have a documented policy and procedure in p lace t o i dentify an d r ecover duplicate pa yments di sbursed t o vendors.	D. Develop and implement policies and procedures to i dentify and recover duplicate payments disbursed to vendors. In a ddition, management should follow up on the 113 potential duplicate payment events au ditors identified in the audit period FY2008 through the first quarter of FY2011 to determine those that are actual duplicate payments and seek recovery where feasible.	X			
2	A. Although the Department requires all vendors to provide a completed and s igned F orm W-9 before they are set up in them aster vendor file, it does not validate the information. Nor does staff ensure the vendors are not on a debarment list. Failure to have a validation process increases the risk of inaccurate master vendor file data and provides a noppor tunity for errors and fraud.	A. Validate information provided by the vendor on the I RS F orm W -9 and check against debarment lists before entering vendor data into the master vendor file.		P		

Internal Audit Report 1363 Page 4 of 7

- Fully Implemented The audit issue has been adequately addressed by implementing the original or alternative corrective action (X).
- Open/Partially Implemented The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete (P) or the audit issue has not been addressed but management fully intends to address the issue (O).
- Not Implemented The audit issue has not been addressed and management has assumed the risk of not taking corrective action (NI).
- Withdrawn The audit issue no longer exist because of changes in the auditee's operation (W).

			Corrective Action Taken			
Issue	Risk Observation	Recommendation	Fully Implemented	Open/Partially Implemented	Not Implemented	Withdrawn
	B. The master vendor file contains duplicate vendors and missing information, such as TINs and telephone numbers. Moreover, the master vendor file contains vendors who have been inactive for an extended period of time. Failure to update the master vendor file and remove or deactivate duplicate and inactive vendors increases the opportunity for duplicate payments and fraud.	B. Remove o r d eactivate d uplicate and inactive v endors f rom t he m aster vendor file. Because o f t he r isk exposure of d uplicate p ayments an d fraud, management s hould m ake corrective action a high priority.	X			
	C. The Department does not review and approve all changes to the master vendor file.	C. Design a report detailing all additions, deletions and other changes made to the master vendor file, including the identity of the s taff m aking the change. A senior l evel m anager should p eriodically r eview a nd approve the report.		О		
3	A. Three d epartment s taff members are assigned s pecific C ounty departments f or w hich they approve all p ayment r equests. They are all so as signed on a rotational basis the responsibility to review the printed checks for accuracy. While management has separated the review and printing	A. Separate the authorization and review processes to ensure no one person has the responsibility to c onduct two or more p hases of the invoice and disbursement process. I fad equate segregation of duties can not be achieved, managements hould put appropriate mitigating controls in place.	X			

Internal Audit Report 1363

Page 5 of 7

- Fully Implemented The audit issue has been adequately addressed by implementing the original or alternative corrective action (X).
- Open/Partially Implemented The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete (P) or the audit issue has not been addressed but management fully intends to address the issue (O).
- Not Implemented The audit issue has not been addressed and management has assumed the risk of not taking corrective action (NI).
- Withdrawn The audit issue no longer exist because of changes in the auditee's operation (W).

			Corrective Action Taken			
Issue	Risk Observation	Recommendation	Fully Implemented	Open/Partially Implemented	Not Implemented	Withdrawn
	processes, st aff st ill h as the responsibility for multiple aspects of the i nvoice a nd d isbursement process. Insufficient separation of duties, however, could increase the opportunity for errors and fraud. B. Internal A udit r eviewed disbursement activity between FY2008 a nd t he f irst quarter of FY2011 and identified 93 PRC and GAX p ayment r equests initiated by the D epartment's two s ystem administrators. While s ystem administrators require full system access to carry out system support duties, which may include creating or approving payment requests, insufficient separation of duties could increase the opportunity for errors and fraud.	B. If ad equate s egregation o f d uties cannot be ach ieved, m anagement should put a ppropriate m itigating controls in place. Periodically reemphasize the roles, responsibilities and restrictions of system administrator staff.	X			
4	While t he D epartment h as s ervice level p erformance m easures, as published each year by the County, it has not established k ey p erformance indicators (KPIs) f or s ome o f its accounts payable activities, such as the	Internal A udit r ecommends th at the Department of Finance d evelop formal KPIs f or t he m ajor c omponents of i ts accounts payable p rocess, s uch as accuracy, timeliness, training or other key		O		

¹ PRC: Commodity-based Payment Request – Payment of a Purchase Order; GAX: General Accounting Expenditure – Payment that either references a general accounting encumbrance or has no reference to an encumbrance

Internal Audit Report 1363

Page 6 of 7

- Fully Implemented The audit issue has been adequately addressed by implementing the original or alternative corrective action (X).
- Open/Partially Implemented The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete (P) or the audit issue has not been addressed but management fully intends to address the issue (O).
- Not Implemented The audit issue has not been addressed and management has assumed the risk of not taking corrective action (NI).
- Withdrawn The audit issue no longer exist because of changes in the auditee's operation (W).

			Corrective Action Taken			
Issue	Risk Observation	Recommendation	Fully Implemented	Open/Partially Implemented	Not Implemented	Withdrawn
	percentage of invoices processed timely or the number of duplicate payments made. Such K PIs would articulate expectations, monitor performance and react to shortfalls.	process objectives.				
5	While a staff person reviews the check register for any unusual disbursements, it is not evidenced on the check register or otherwise documented. As a result, there is no way to v alidate that the review of the check register has been completed.	Internal A udit r ecommends th at the Department of Finance h ave t he ch eck register reviewer initial and the date the check register or otherwise document that a review has been conducted.	X			

Internal Audit Report 1363 Page 7 of 7